

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,927	07/17/2003	Paul Anthony Ashley	AUS920030169US1	3074	
65362 INTERNATIO	5362 7590 06/28/2007 NTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			EXAMINER .	
c/o HAMILTON & TERRILE, LLP			HOFFMAN, BRANDON S		
P.O. BOX 203518 AUSTIN, TX 78720		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2136		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/621,927	ASHLEY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Brandon S. Hoffman	2136			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ap</u>	nril 2007				
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.	·			
·	·, <u> </u>		secution as to the morits is			
,_	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
4) 🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
	on Papers	cicolion requirement.				
- •	·					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
\ttachment	(s)					
) 🛛 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
) 🔲 Notice	e					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	tent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/621,927 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-33 are pending in this office action.

2. Applicant's arguments, filed April 9, 2007, have been considered and are persuasive. However, a new ground of rejections is made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. <u>Claims 21-30 and 33</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 21-30 and 33 are not limited to tangible embodiments. In view of applicants' disclosure, specification, page 29, lines 26-30, the medium is not limited to tangible embodiments, instead being defined as including both tangible embodiments (e.g., EPROM, floppy disc) and intangible embodiments (e.g., transmission-type media, communications links). As such, the claim is not limited to statutory subject matter and is therefore non-statutory.
- 5. Examiner suggests making the limitation cite a computer readable storage medium, thereby restricting the media to only that which is capable of storing data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. <u>Claims 1, 2, 11, 12, 21, and 22</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Freiss et al.</u> (Implementing certificate based authentication for remote

users with Firewall-1/SecuRemote and openssl as CA, wayback machine archive from January 26, 2002), as evidenced by Wikipedia reference for Transport Layer Security.

Regarding <u>claims 1, 11, and 21</u>, <u>Freiss et al.</u> teaches a method/apparatus/computer program product in a computer-readable medium for performing authentication operations, the method/apparatus/computer program product comprising:

- Performing a non-certificate-based authentication operation through an SSL (Secure Sockets Layer) session between a server and a client (page 1, step 1 through page 2, step 2 and step 3); and
- Subsequent to performing the non-certificate-based authentication operation,
 performing a certificate-based authentication operation through the SSL session
 between the server and the client without exiting or renegotiating the SSL
 session prior to completion of the certificate-based authentication operation
 (page 2, step 4 through page 4, step 8).

Regarding claims 2, 12, and 22, Freiss et al. teaches wherein negotiation of the SSL session uses a first digital certificate from the client, wherein the certificate-based authentication operation uses a second digital certificate from the client, and wherein the first digital certificate and the second digital certificate are not identical (page 1, step 1, set up of openssl involves a first certificate from the user, see page 2, bullet number 4 from Wikipedia, and page 2, step 4 through page 4, step 8, the creation of a certificate

for the certificate based authentication).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. <u>Claims 3-10, 13-20, and 23-33</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Freiss et al.</u> (Implementing certificate based authentication for remote users with Firewall-1/SecuRemote and openssl as CA, wayback machine archive from January 26, 2002) in view of <u>Joshi et al.</u> (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0091798).

Regarding claims 3, 13, and 23, Freiss et al. teaches all the limitations of claims 1, 11, and 21, respectively above. However, Freiss et al. does not teach further comprising providing access to a first resource for a client by a server in association with the non-certificate-based authentication operation.

<u>Joshi et al.</u> teaches further comprising providing access to a first resource for a client by a server in association with the non-certificate-based authentication operation (fig. 22, ref. num 795).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine providing access to a first resource during the non-certificated based authentication, as taught by <u>Joshi et al.</u>, with the method/apparatus/computer program product of <u>Freiss et al.</u> It would have been obvious for such modifications because the SSL connection provides security of

resources for transmittal to clients.

Regarding <u>claims 4, 14, and 24, Freiss et al.</u> as modified by <u>Joshi et al.</u> teaches wherein the step of providing access to the first resource further comprises:

- Receiving at the server a first resource request from the client (see fig. 22, ref. num 750 of Joshi et al.);
- In response to determining that the first resource request requires completion of a non-certificate-based authentication operation prior to responding to the first resource request, establishing an SSL (Secure Sockets Layer) session between the server and the client (see fig. 22, ref. num 756 of Joshi et al.); and
- In response to successfully performing the non-certificate-based authentication operation between the server and the client through the SSL session, sending a first resource response from the server to the client (see fig. 22, ref. num 790, 792, 794, and 795 of Joshi et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 5, 15, and 25, Freiss et al.</u> teaches all the limitations of claims 1, 11, and 21, respectively above. However, <u>Freiss et al.</u> does not teach further comprising providing access to a second resource for a client by a server in association with the certificate-based authentication operation.

<u>Joshi et al.</u> teaches further comprising providing access to a second resource for a client by a server in association with the certificate-based authentication operation (fig. 35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine providing access to a second resource during the certificate-based authentication, as taught by <u>Joshi et al.</u>, with the method/apparatus/computer program product of <u>Freiss et al.</u> It would have been obvious for such modifications because certificate-based authentication provides additional security of resources for transmittal of secret data to clients.

Regarding <u>claims 6, 16, and 26, Freiss et al.</u> as modified by <u>Joshi et al.</u> teaches wherein the step of providing access for the second resource further comprises:

- Receiving at the server a second resource request from the client through the SSL session (see fig. 35, ref. num 1348 of Joshi et al.);
- In response to determining that the second resource request requires a
 certificate-based authentication procedure, downloading an executable module to
 the client from the server through the SSL session (see paragraph 0202 of Joshi
 et al.);
- Receiving at the server a digital signature that has been generated by the
 executable module using a digital certificate at the client (see fig. 35, ref. num
 1360-1364 of Joshi et al.); and

Application/Control Number: 10/621,927

Art Unit: 2136

 In response to successfully verifying the digital signature at the server, sending a second resource response from the server to the client (see fig. 35, ref. num 1366 of Joshi et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 7, 17, and 27</u>, <u>Freiss et al.</u> as modified by <u>Joshi et al.</u> teaches wherein the step of providing access for the second resource further comprises:

- Receiving at the server a second resource request from the client through the SSL session (see fig. 35, ref. num 1348 of Joshi et al.);
- In response to determining that the second resource request requires a
 certificate-based authentication procedure, triggering execution of a
 downloadable software module at the client by the server through the SSL
 session (see paragraph 0204 of Joshi et al.);
- Receiving at the server a digital signature that has been generated by the
 execution of the downloadable software module using a digital certificate at the
 client (see fig. 35, ref. num 1360-1364 of Joshi et al.); and
- In response to successfully verifying the digital signature at the server, sending a second resource response from the server to the client (see fig. 35, ref. num 1366 of Joshi et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 8, 18, and 28, Freiss et al.</u> teaches all the limitations of claims 1, 11, and 21, respectively above. However, <u>Freiss et al.</u> does not teach further

comprising obtaining access to a second resource at a server by a client in association

with the certificate-based authentication operation.

Joshi et al. teaches further comprising obtaining access to a second resource at

a server by a client in association with the certificate-based authentication operation (fig.

35, ref. num 1366).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the

invention was made, to combine providing access to a second resource during the

certificate-based authentication, as taught by Joshi et al., with the

method/apparatus/computer program product of Freiss et al. It would have been

obvious for such modifications because certificate-based authentication provides

additional security of resources for transmittal of secret data to clients.

Regarding claims 9, 19, and 29, Freiss et al. as modified by Joshi et al. teaches

wherein the step of obtaining access to the second resource further comprises:

Sending a second resource request from the client to the server through the SSL

session (see fig. 35, ref. num 1348 of Joshi et al.);

Receiving an executable module at the client from the server through the SSL

session, wherein the executable module comprises functionality for performing a

certificate-based authentication operation (see paragraph 0203 of Joshi et al.);

Application/Control Number: 10/621,927

Art Unit: 2136

 Sending to the server through the SSL session a digital signature that has been generated by the executable module using a digital certificate at the client (see fig. 35, ref. num 1360-1366 of Joshi et al.); and

Page 9

Receiving a second resource response from the server at the client (see fig. 35, ref. num 1366 of Joshi et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 10, 20, and 30, Freiss et al.</u> as modified by <u>Joshi et al.</u> teaches wherein the step of obtaining access to the second resource further comprises:

- Sending a second resource request from the client to the server through the SSL session (see fig. 35, ref. num 1348 of Joshi et al.);
- Receiving at the client from the server through the SSL session a response message having content with an associated content type indicator (see paragraph 0214 of Joshi et al.);
- In response to determining a content type for the content, executing a downloadable software module at the client (see paragraph 0214 of Joshi et al.);
- Sending to the server through the SSL session a digital signature that has been generated by the executable module using a digital certificate at the client (see fig. 35, ref. num 1360-1364 of Joshi et al.); and
- Receiving a second resource response from the server at the client (see fig. 35, ref. num 1366 of Joshi et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 31-33</u>, <u>Freiss et al.</u> teaches a method/apparatus/computer program product in a computer-readable medium for performing authentication operations, the method/apparatus/computer program product comprising:

- In response to determining that the first resource request requires completion of a non-certificate-based authentication operation prior to responding to the first resource request, establishing an SSL (Secure Sockets Layer) session between the server and the client (page 1, step 1 through page 2, step 2 and step 3);
- Performing a non-certificate-based authentication operation through the SSL session (page 1, step 1 through page 2, step 2 and step 3); and
- In response to determining that the second resource request requires a
 certificate-based authentication procedure, downloading an executable module to
 the client from the server through the SSL session (page 2, step 4 through page
 4, step 8).

Freiss et al. does not teach receiving at a server a first resource request from a client; in response to successfully performing the non-certificate-based authentication operation, sending a first resource response from the server to the client; receiving at the server a second resource request from the client through the SSL session subsequent to performing the non-certificate-based authentication operation; receiving at the server through the SSL session a digital signature that has been generated by the executable module using a digital certificate at the client; and in response to

successfully verifying the digital signature at the server, sending a second resource response from the server to the client.

Joshi et al. teaches receiving at a server a first resource request from a client (fig. 22, ref. num 750); in response to successfully performing the non-certificate-based authentication operation, sending a first resource response from the server to the client (fig. 22, ref. num 795); and receiving at the server a second resource request from the client through the SSL session subsequent to performing the non-certificate-based authentication operation (fig. 35, ref. num 1348); receiving at the server through the SSL session a digital signature that has been generated by the executable module using a digital certificate at the client (fig. 35, ref. num 1360-1364); and in response to successfully verifying the digital signature at the server, sending a second resource response from the server to the client (fig. 35, ref. num 1366).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine stuff, as taught by <u>Joshi et al.</u>, with the method/apparatus/computer program product of <u>Freiss et al.</u> It would have been obvious for such modifications because the SSL connection provides security of resources for transmittal to clients and certificate-based authentication provides additional security of resources for transmittal of secret data to clients.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,927

Art Unit: 2136

Page 12

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brandon S. Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-

272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nasser G. Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brandon Hoffman/

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

BH

6/23,07